

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/612,336 07/03/2003		07/03/2003	Tianhong Lu	33419-190561 3745			
26694	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER			
	•	ETJER, HOWARD	HAILEY, PATRICIA L				
P.O. BOX WASHIN		DC 20043-9998	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				1755			
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			36	LU ET AL.						
			<u> </u>	Art Unit						
		Patricia L	. Hailey	1755						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress					
Period fo	, •		O EVDIDE AMONTU	(C) EDOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> .									
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	•								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.										
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.									
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152) ``					
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office	<del> </del>								

K

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Applicants' Priority Document was filed on July 3, 2003.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a current vs time curve of reduction of O2 when heat treating a TiO2/C electrode as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The a current vs time curve of reduction of O<sub>2</sub> when heat-treating a TiO<sub>2</sub>/C electrode is mentioned in the Specification at page 3, lines 22-24. See also Applicants' Figure 2.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is indefinite for lacking proper antecedent basis for the phrase "composite catalyst". Claim 1, from which claim 8 depends, does not recite this phrase (claim 1 recites the limitation "non-platinum composite electrocatalyst".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336

Art Unit: 1755

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Li et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,552,245).

Li et al. disclose a nickel molybdenum chromium alloy cathode (Example 1), as well as other well known cathode materials including, but not limited to, nickel, manganese, cobalt, molybdenum, lead, chromium, silver, and oxides and hydroxides thereof. See col. 3, lines 1-6 of Li et al.

The reference also teaches that porphines are metal complexes known for their ability to coordinate transition metal ions. See col. 3, lines 35-63 of Li et al., which also discloses exemplary transition metal macrocyclics.

The alloy cathode is considered to read upon the claim limitation "composite catalyst".

In view of these teachings, Li et al. anticipate claim 8.

In the alternative, it is noted that claim 8 is a product-by-process claim, i.e., "composite catalyst prepared by the method...". It has been held that "[A]ny difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336

Art Unit: 1755

product is patentably distinct, not the examiner to show that the same is a process of making." In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685 and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324.

Additionally, even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1-7 allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the claimed process for preparing a non-platinum composite electrocatalyst, wherein a carbon-supporting titanium dioxide is prepared and compounded with a transition metal macrocyclic compound in an organic solvent, and the resulting mixture is thermally treated at 100°C to 1000°C.

While titanium dioxide supported by carbon is known in the art, its combination with a transition metal macrocyclic is not.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336

Art Unit: 1755

In addition to Li et al.:

Golovin et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,733,677) teaches a cathode comprising carbon black, polytetrafluroethylene, and titanium as a metal current collector (col. 4, lines 14-32).

Dhar (U. S. Patent No. 5,521,020) teaches a catalytic material comprising platinum as catalyst for the hydrogen electrode, and either platinum or another oxygen reducing catalyst such as a macrocyclic chelate. Dhar also discloses titanium oxide as an alternate support or carrier for the catalytic material. See col. 6, lines 5-30 of Dhar.

Therien et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0166921) relates to synthetic multiporphyrin and multimacrocyclic systems that bind metal ions.

Cofacial (porphinato)metal compounds demonstrating excellent utility in catalyzing multielectron redox transformations are shown to function as homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysts, or as electrocatalysts.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Hailey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1369. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/612,336

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 1700 Receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner, Art Unit 1755

March 21, 2005

MARY EXAMINER